MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY LABORATORY SERVICES PROGRAM

Landfill Monitoring Report St François County Landfill Permit 118701 St Francois County, Missouri May 11, 1989

RECEIVED

OCT 5 1990

REMD SECTION

INTRODUCTION

At the request of the Waste Management Program, landfill monitoring was conducted on May 11, 1989, at the St Francois County Landfill in St Francois County, Missouri Sampling techniques and field analyses performed by Larron Laboratory were observed by Eric Sappington and Dave Mosby of the Laboratory Services Program, Division of Environmental Rick Roberts and Fred Hutson of the Poplar Bluff Regional Office Ouality were also present to assist in the collection of leachate samples

METHODS

Grab samples were collected by Larron Laboratory personnel from monitoring wells #0101, #0103, #0104, and #0106

The sampling techniques and field analyses of the private laboratory were observed in the field and critiqued using "QA/QC Water Sampling Checklist For Solid Waste Disposal Facilities" (Appendix A)

The samples were split between private and state personnel for separate preservation, filtration and field analyses for pH, temperature and The state's portion was returned on ice to the Division conductivity Laboratory in Jefferson City for analyses

In addition to splitting samples from monitoring wells with the private laboratory, state personnel collected two leachate samples

OBSERVATIONS

Larron Laboratory personnel had not received a copy of the DNR Technical Bulletin titled "Collection And Analysis Of Water Samples" Consequently. they were not prepared to collect samples for analyses of organic parameters

There are a total of six monitoring wells at the landfill Wells #0102 and #0105 were dry and consequently not sampled

Although Larron Laboratory personnel sampled well #0104, the state lab did not obtain a split sample because there was not enough water for analyses by both laboratories

Due to an insufficient amount of water in well #0101, state personnel collected just enough sample volume for TOC analysis



Page 2 Landfill Monitoring Report St Francois County Landfill Permit 118701 St Francois County, Missouri May 11, 1989

The sample vials collected at well #0106 for TOC analysis were broken in the field and therefore were not analyzed

Two leachate grab samples were collected by Fred Hutson, Rick Roberts, and Dave Mosby Sample number 89-1361 was collected at a surface point downgradient of mining spoil piles and upgradient of the landfill Sample number 89-1362 was collected at a surface point downgradient of mining spoil piles and the landfill According to Fred Hutson, these surface points had been sampled in the past

RESULTS

See attached results for analyses performed on samples (Appendix B)

Submitted by

Eric Sappington

Water Quality Specialist Water Quality Monitoring Unit Laboratory Services Program

Date

July 12, 1989

Approved by

James H Long

Director

Labdratory Services Program

JHL ES dlb

cc Miles Stotts, Unit Chief, Solid Waste Enforcement Section, Waste Management Program

Dean Smart, Waste Management Program

APPENDIX A

7

QA/QC WATER SAMPLING CHECKLIST FOR SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL FACILITIES

Landfill Monitoring Report
St Francois County Landfill
Permit 118701
St Francois County, Missouri
May 11, 1989

QA/QC WATER SAMPLING CHECKLIST FOR SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL FACILITIES

Facility Name	St. Francois Count	y Landfill			
Date of Sampling	May 11, 1989	May 11, 1989			
Private Lab Name	Larron Laboratory				
Private Lab Address_	529 Broadway				
	Cape Girardeau, Mi	ssouri 63701			
Private Lab Phone No	(314) 334-8910				
Participants	Name	Position Title			
Facility .					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
State Lab	Eric Sappington	Water Ouality Specialist			
	Dave Mosby	Water Ouality Specialist			
Private Lab		Chemical Technician			
-	Ron Farrow	Chemical Technician			
-					

~ ~				
ĩ	General Review of Monitoring Well Sample Collection Procedures		Y/N*	
	A	Are monitoring wells sampled? If no, proceed to section II		
	В	Moni	Monitoring Well Location and Security	
		1	Is a map of facility available to locate wells?	<u>Y</u>
		2	Are monitoring wells marked so they can be located easily?	<u></u> Y
		3	Do monitoring wells have protective caps?	<u></u>
		4	Are protective caps locked to prevent unauthorized access?	N
	C	Meas	urement of Well Depths	
		1	Are measurements of both depth to standing water and depth to bottom of well made prior to well evacuation?	<u>Y</u>
		2	Are measurements taken to nearest inch or 1 foot?	<u></u>
		3	Type of measuring device <u>See remarks section</u>	
		4	Is measuring device cleaned according to WMP guidelines?	<u></u>
	D	Well	Evacuation	
		1	What device is used to evacuate wells? 3 foot teflon bai	ler
		2	Are low recharge wells evacuated to dryness?	_ <u>x</u> _
		3	Are high recharge wells evacuated according to WMP guidelines?	_ <u>x</u> _
		4	Is evacuated water disposed of properly?	<u> </u>
		5	Does each well have dedicated evacuation equipment?	N
		6	Is well evacuation equipment cleaned according to WMP guidelines?	_ <u>x</u> _
	E	Samp	le Collection	
		1	Does each well have dedicated sampling equipment?	N
		2	If no to above, is sampling equipment cleaned according to WMP guidelines?	

^{*} All No responses must be explained in Remarks Section

		3	Is care taken to avoid placing clean sampling equipment	I/N*
			on the ground or other contaminated surfaces prior to sample collection?	<u> </u>
		4	Are samples collected in a manner that will minimize aeration of the sample?	
II	Gen	eral R	eview of Surface Point Sample Collection Procedures	
	A		urface points sampled? , proceed to section III	_N_
	В	Surf	ace Point Location and Description	
		1	Is a map of facility available to locate sampling points?	_N/A_
		2	Are sampling points marked so sampling will always occur at same location?	_N/A_
		3	If a stream, is presence or absence of flow recorded?	_N/A_
		4	Are water level conditions (above/below normal) noted?	_N/A_
	C	Samp.	le Collection	
		1	Does each surface point have dedicated sampling equipment?	_N/A_
		2	Is care taken to avoid placing clean sampling equipment on ground, or otherwise contaminating equipment prior to sample collection?	_N/A_
		3	Are samples collected in a manner consistent with WMP guidelines?	_N/A_
III	R	eview	of Field Measurements. Sample Handling and Preservation Proc	edures
	A	Field	d Measurements	
		1	Are the following parameters measured in the field	
			а рН?	<u> </u>
			b temperature?	<u></u>
			c specific conductivity?	<u>Y</u>
			d other (specify)	_N/A_
		2	Is equipment calibrated and maintained according to accepted procedures?	Y
	* ,	All No	responses must be explained in Remarks Section	

				Y/N*
		3	Are field measurements determined using methods consistent with accepted procedures?	<u></u>
		4	Are field measurements made on a split portion of sample rather than in a container that will be analyzed for other parameters?	_ <u>x</u> _
	В	Samp	le Containers	
		1	Are sample containers for each parameter compatible and consistent with WMP guidelines?	<u>Y</u>
	C	Samp	le Handling and Preservation	
		1	Are samples transferred from the sampling device directly to the appropriate containers?	<u> </u>
		2	Are samples containerized in order of their volatilization sensitivity?	_N/A_
		3	Are parameters requiring field filtration filtered immediately after sample collection through a 0 45 micron filter?	_ <u>N</u> _
		4	Are samples preserved according to WMP approved guidelines?	<u> </u>
IV	Revi	ew of	Field Documentation and Sample Chain-of-Custody Procedures	
	A	Samp	les documentation	
		1	Are sample labels used?	<u> </u>
		2	Do they remain attached and legible even if wet?	<u></u>
		3	Are labels attached immediately after samples are collected?	<u>_x_</u>

^{*} All No responses must be explained in Remarks Section

				Y/N*
В	Site	Info	rmation	
	1	Is a	field logbook maintained?	<u> </u>
	2	Does	it contain the following information	
		a	time and date of well evacuation and sampling?	<u>Y</u>
		b	weather conditions at time of sampling?	<u>Y</u>
		С	well identification number?	<u>Y</u>
		đ	total depth of each well?	<u> </u>
		e	static water level depth?	Y
		f	well yield - high or low?	
		g	well sampling sequence?	<u> </u>
		h	field analysis data?	<u> </u>
		i	field team members?	<u> </u>
		j	unusual conditions or observations?	<u> </u>
C	Chai	n-of-(Custody Record	
	1	Is a	Chain-of-Custody record included with each sample?	

* All No responses must be explained in Remarks Section



	rks (any No responses in above should be accompanied by an explanation this section)
I.B.4.	Well #0105 did not have a locked cap.
1.C.3.	The private lab personnel used a bailer to find the static water level
	and depth to bottom. After marking these points on the rope, they
	removed the bailer from the well and used a tape measure to determine
	the depths.
I.D.5.	The private lab personnel used the same bailer to evacuate and sample
	all of the wells.
I.E.1.	See remark for I.D.5.
II.A.	There are no surface points to be sampled.
III.C.3.	The private lab personnel filtered their samples in the field at the
	end of the day after all of the wells had been sampled.
	
	
	

APPENDIX B

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Landfill Monitoring Report
St Francois County Landfill
Permit 118701
St Francois County, Missouri
May 11, 1989

LABORATORY SERVICES PROGRAM RESULT OF SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Sample No 89-1358

Reported to ERIC SAPPINGTON Affiliation WOM

Date 6/29/89 Project Code 3532/3000

Sample Description ST FRANCOIS CO LANDFILL, GRAB WELL #0101 UPGRADIENT FROM LANDFILL

Collected by ERIC SAPPINGTON Affiliation WOM

Date 05/11/89

PARAMETERS

RESULTS

TEMPERATURE

14 6 DEGREES C

COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD

pН

7 6

COMMENTS

ANALYZED IN FIELD

SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE

1077 uohms/cm

COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD

TOT ORGANIC CARBON

11 mg/L

COMMENTS ANALYZED BY PACE LABORATORIES, INC

LABORATORY SERVICES PROGRAM RESULT OF SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Sample No 89-1364

Reported to ERIC SAPPINGTON Affiliation WQM

Date 7/13/89 Project Code 3532/3000

Sample Description ST FRANCOIS CO LANDFILL, GRAB WELL 0103

Collected by ERIC SAPPINGTON Affiliation WQM

Date 05/11/89

PARAMETERS	RESULTS
TOTAL DISS SOLIDS	73 mg/L
TEMPERATURE COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD	15 6 DEGREES C
pH COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD	7 1
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD	1640 uohms/cm
HARDNESS AS CaCO3	1033 mg/L
CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND	87 mg/L
FLUORIDE	0 10 mg/L
AMMONIA	0 25 mg/L
NITRITE-NITRATE	0 80 mg/L
TOTAL PHOSPHOROUS	0 09 mg/L
SULFATE	920 mg/L
CHLORIDE	2 1 mg/L

Page 2 Sample no 89-1364 Date 7/13/89

PARA	AMETERS	RESULTS
DISS	SILVER	<1 0 ug/L
DISS	ARSENIC	61 ug/L
DISS	BORON	<100 ug/L
DISS	BARIUM	<100 ug/L
DISS	CALCIUM	290,000 ug/L
DISS	CADMIUM	<2 0 ug/L
DISS	COBALT	<60 ug/L
DISS	CHROMIUM	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	COPPER	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	IRON	110 ug/L
DISS	MERCURY	< 5 ug/L
DISS	MAGNESIUM	75 mg/L
DISS	MANGANESE	150 ug/L
DISS	SODIUM	<2 0 mg/L
DISS	LEAD	58 ug/L
DISS	SELENIUM	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	ZINC	140 ug/L
	RGANIC CARBON COMMENTS ANALYZED BY PACE LA	8 7 mg/L BORATORIES, INC
	ORGANIC HALOGENS COMMENTS ANALYZED BY PACE LA	0 012 mg/L BORATORIES, INC

LABORATORY SERVICES PROGRAM RESULT OF SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Sample No 89-1360

RESULTS

77 mg/L

130 mg/L

Reported to ERIC SAPPINGTON Affiliation WOM

Date 7/13/89 Project Code 3532/3000

Sample Description ST FRANCOIS CO LANDFILL, GRAB WELL #0106

Collected by ERIC SAPPINGTON Affiliation WQM

PARAMETERS

SULFATE

CHLORIDE

Date 05/11/89

TOTAL DISS SOLIDS	110 mg/L
TEMPERATURE COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD	14 3 DEGREES C
pH COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD	6 7
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD	1940 uohms/cm
HARDNESS AS CaCO3	855 mg/L
CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND	69 mg/L
FLUORIDE	0 31 mg/L
AMMONIA	32 0 mg/L
NITRITE-NITRATE	0 25 mg/L
TOTAL PHOSPHOROUS	0 06 mg/L

Page 2 Sample no 89-1360 Date 7/13/89

PAR	AMETERS	RESULTS
DISS	SILVER	<1 0 ug/L
DISS	ARSENIC	48 ug/L
DISS	BORON	220 ug/L
DISS	BARIUM	280 ug/L
DISS	CALCIUM	260,000 ug/L
DISS	CADMIUM	<2 0 ug/L
DISS	COBALT	<60 ug/L
DISS	CHROMIUM	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	COPPER	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	IRON	13000 ug/L
DISS	MERCURY	< 5 ug/L
DISS	MAGNESIUM	50 mg/L
DISS	MANGANESE	170 ug/L
DISS	SODIUM	80 mg/L
DISS	LEAD	34 ug/L
DISS	SELENIUM	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	ZINC	920 ug/L
	ORGANIC HALOGENS COMMENTS ANALYZED BY PACE LA	0 103 mg/L BORATORIES, INC

LABORATORY SERVICES PROGRAM RESULT OF SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Sample No 89-1361

Reported to ERIC SAPPINGTON Affiliation WOM

Date 7/13/89 Project Code 3532/3000

Sample Description
ST FRANCOIS CO LANDFILL, GRAB
LEACHATE BELOW SPOIL PILES
(ABOVE LANDFILL)

Collected by ERIC SAPPINGTON Affiliation WQM

Date 05/11/89

PARAMETERS RESULTS

TOTAL DISS SOLIDS 58 mg/L

TEMPERATURE 13 DEGREES C

COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD

PH 8 0

COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD

SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE 849 uohms/cm

COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD

HARDNESS AS CaCO3 473 mg/L

CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND 6 mg/L

FLUORIDE 0 30 mg/L

AMMONIA <0 05 mg/L

NITRITE-NITRATE 0 25 mg/L

TOTAL PHOSPHOROUS <0 05 mg/L

SULFATE 280 mg/L

CHLORIDE 2 4 mg/L

Page 2 Sample no 89-1361 Date 7/13/89

PAR	AMETERS	RESULTS
DISS	SILVER	<1 0 ug/L
DISS	ARSENIC	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	BORON	<100 ug/L
DISS	BARIUM	<100 ug/L
DISS	CALCIUM	130,000 ug/L
DISS	CADMIUM	4 6 ug/L
DISS	COBALT	<60 ug/L
DISS	CHROMIUM	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	COPPER	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	IRON	53 ug/L
DISS	MERCURY	< 5 ug/L
DISS	MAGNESIUM	36 mg/L
DISS	MANGANESE	<20 ug/L
DISS	SODIUM	<2 0 mg/L
DISS	LEAD	28 ug/L
DISS	SELENIUM	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	ZINC	1800 ug/L
	RGANIC CARBON COMMENTS : ANALYZED BY I	4 8 mg/L PACE LABORATORIES, INC
	ORGANIC HALOGENS COMMENTS ANALYZED BY I	<pre><0 005 mg/L PACE LABORATORIES, INC</pre>

•

LABORATORY SERVICES PROGRAM RESULT OF SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Sample No 89-1362

Reported to ERIC SAPPINGTON Affiliation WOM

Date 7/13/89 Project Code 3532/3000

Sample Description
ST FRANCOIS CO LANDFILL
GRAB, LEACHATE BELOW SPOIL PILES AND ABOVE TUNNEL
(BELOW LANDFILL)

Collected by ERIC SAPPINGTON Affiliation WOM

Date 05/11/89

PARAMETERS RESULTS

TOTAL DISS SOLIDS 160 mg/L

TEMPERATURE 15 DEGREES C

COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD

pH 7 1

COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD

SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE 2005 uohms/cm

COMMENTS ANALYZED IN FIELD

HARDNESS AS CaCO3 1127 mg/L

CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND 40 mg/L

FLUORIDE 0 20 mg/L

AMMONIA 0 13 mg/L

NITRITE-NITRATE <0 05 mg/L

TOTAL PHOSPHOROUS 0 21 mg/L

SULFATE 960 mg/L

CHLORIDE 32 mg/L

Page 2 Sample no 89-1362 Date 7/13/89

á

PAR	AMETERS	RESULTS
DISS	SILVER	<1 0 ug/L
DISS	ARSENIC	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	BORON	<100 ug/L
DISS	BARIUM	<100 ug/L
DISS	CALCIUM	270,000 ug/L
DISS	CADMIUM	3 2 ug/L
DISS	COBALT	560 ug/L
DISS	CHROMIUM	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	COPPER	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	IRON	1100 ug/L
DISS	MERCURY	< 5 ug/L
DISS	MAGNESIUM	110 mg/L
DISS	MANGANESE	320 ug/L
DISS	SODIUM	19 mg/L
DISS	LEAD	20 ug/L
DISS	SELENIUM	<5 0 ug/L
DISS	ZINC	7400 ug/L
	RGANIC CARBON COMMENTS ANALYZED BY PACE LA	2 4 mg/L BORATORIES, INC
	ORGANIC HALOGENS COMMENTS ANALYZED BY PACE LA	0 006 mg/L BORATORIES, INC

LABORATORY SERVICES PROGRAM RESULT OF SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Sample No 89-1359

Reported to ERIC SAPPINGTON Affiliation WOM

Date 6/29/89 Project Code 3532/3000

Sample Description FIELD BLANK FOR SAMPLES 891358 THRU 891362

Collected by ERIC SAPPINGTON Affiliation WOM

Date 05/11/89

PARAMETERS

RESULTS

TOT ORGANIC CARBON

3 3 mg/L

COMMENTS ANALYZED BY PACE LABORATORIES, INC

TOTAL ORGANIC HALOGENS

<0 005 mg/L

COMMENTS ANALYZED BY PACE LABORATORIES, INC